



# PHYSICAL CHARACTERISATION OF COMMERCIAL MAYONNAISES AND SALAD DRESSINGS

M.C. Nunes<sup>1</sup>, A. Raymundo<sup>2</sup>, J. Empis<sup>3</sup>, I. Sousa<sup>4\*</sup>

(1) Centro de Investigação em Reologia e Tecnologia Alimentar. Instituto Piaget – ISEIT de Mirandela. Av. 25 de Abril 5370-202 Mirandela. Portugal. Fax + 351 278265203; Phone +351 278200150.

(2) Centro de Investigação em Engenharia Alimentar e Biotecnologia. Instituto Piaget – ISEIT de Almada. Quinta da Arreinela de Cima 2800-305 Almada. Portugal. Fax +351 212941584; Phone +351 212946269.

(3) Centro de Engenharia Biológica e Química. Instituto Superior Técnico. Universidade Técnica de Lisboa. Av. Rovisco Pais 1049-001 Lisboa. Portugal. Fax +351 218419176; Phone +351 218417889.

(4) Secção de Ciência e Tecnologia dos Alimentos. Instituto Superior de Agronomia. Universidade Técnica de Lisboa. Tapada da Ajuda 1349-017 Lisboa. Portugal. Fax: +351 21 3653200; Phone: +351 21 3653543.

e-mails: <u>cnunes@mirandela.ipiaget.pt;</u> <u>a.raymundo@almada.ipiaget.pt;</u> <u>jempis@ist.utl.pt;</u> <u>isabelsousa@isa.utl.pt;</u>

\*-corresponding author

Keywords: mayonnaise, rheology, texture, food emulsions.

Nomenclature: G'- storage modulus

G'' - loss modulus  $G_N^o - plateau$  modulus  $\eta_0 - zero$  shear rate limiting viscosity  $\gamma$  - shear rate  $\gamma_C$  - critical shear rate s - constant of the Carreau Model  $d_{32}$  - Sauter mean diameter

**Abstract:** A specific methodology was applied to define the rheological and textural properties for the development of new food emulsions with plant proteins in lieu of egg yolk. Physical properties including rheological properties ( $\eta_0$  and  $G^o_N$ ), textural properties (firmness and adhesiveness), Sauter diameter ( $d_{32}$ ) and physical stability (% oil removed by centrifugation) were evaluated for eleven mayonnaises and seven salad dressings. Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was applied to the results and three different groups with different physical properties were obtained - traditional mayonnaises, light mayonnaises and salad dressings. Three mayonnaises, from the traditional and light mayonnaise groups were subjected to sensory evaluation and one of these was found to be 42% preferred in a consumer panel hedonic test and its properties to be a good standard to be used as a guidance to develop new food emulsions with physical properties close to those of commercial mayonnaise.

### **1. INTRODUCTION**

Mayonnaise and salad dressings are consumer goods still showing a positive market trend in several countries. The importance of these products on human diet implies a better knowledge and development on the ingredients involved in their manufacture. The legal requirements for





the composition of these products vary from country to country since there is no European directive for these products. In several countries, like Switzerland, "mayonnaise" means an o/w food emulsion with an oil content higher than 75%, using egg yolk as emulsifier, with no addition of thickening agents. In Spain, a mayonnaise must have a minimum oil content of 65% (w/w), over 5% (w/w) of egg yolk, an acid index of at least 0.2% in acetic acid and a pH of less than 4.2 and the term "salad dressing" refers to an o/w emulsion with 30% of oil and 3% of egg yolk [1]. However, in 1993 the Spanish restricted the use of the denomination "mayonnaise" to products with at least 80% of oil content. Dickinson and Stainsby [2] defined mayonnaise as an o/w emulsion with an 80% minimum oil content, containing egg or egg yolk, vinegar, salt and flavoring, coloring and thickening materials, and salad cream as a similar product but with only 25 to 60% of oil content.

The oil and the emulsifier are the most important components to consider for the production of the mayonnaise and salad dressings. These products are o/w emulsions and their high oil content causes stability problems [3, 4] which must be overcome with the help of an emulsifier. Regarding the oil, its importance comes from its high content and its specific nature, as far as the quality is kept high, plays a secondary role. Vegetable oils, namely sunflower and soybean oil are the most commonly used oils on the production of commercial mayonnaise and salad dressings. The emulsifier has the important role of reducing the interfacial tension between the oil and water phases [5]. Nevertheless, its content has to be controlled so that it is enough to cover the oil droplet and to develop an entanglement network between droplets. Traditionally, egg yolk is used as an emulsifier, but other low or no cholesterol emulsifiers such as milk and soy protein have been used in the industry.

Due to the growing interest on low fat low energy products, a tendency to a decrease of the oil content of food products and ingredients is currently observed. Lowering the oil content and changing from egg yolk as main emulsifier, to something else, means that one must use an appropriate thickening agent to help stabilize the oil droplets against coalescence and to obtain an emulsion with physical properties close to those of traditional mayonnaise. The thickening agents that were used for this purpose are xanthan gum, galactomannans, intact or modified starches, propylene glycol alginate and pectin.

According to the previously described tendency to develop new mayonnaise and salad dressing products with low cholesterol and low fat content, it is important to characterise products which are marketed nowadays, in order to define a target and to optimize the composition and processing conditions of the non traditional food emulsions. The knowledge of the physical behavior of these products is also important for quality control, storage stability, design of the unit operations and to the control of processing variables [6-10].

Mayonnaise and salad dressings are viscoelastic materials which can be characterised with small amplitude oscillatory or creep/relaxation compliance experiments [11].

In the present work, eleven commercial mayonnaise and seven salad dressings sold in Portugal, were physically characterised in order to define a standard to use as a target to develop new o/w food emulsions using vegetable proteins to replace egg yolk and lowering the oil content.

### 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Eleven commercial mayonnaises and seven commercial salad dressings were used. The stated label compositions are shown in Tables 1 and 2.

Dynamic viscoelasticity and steady-state flow measurements were carried out in a controlledstress rheometer (RS-75) from Haake (Germany). Oscillatory tests were performed using a





cone and plate sensor system (35 mm, 2°) in a frequency range of  $10^{-2}$  to  $10^2$  rad/s using stresses within the linear region. Steady-state flow curves were obtained with a serrated plate-plate sensor system (20 mm) to overcome the slip effect as recommended by Barnes [12] and Franco *et al.* [13] with shear rates ranging from  $10^{-5}$  to  $10^3$  s<sup>-1</sup>. Each rheological test was conducted at  $20\pm1^{\circ}$ C and performed at least three times.

| Table 1: Label  | composition | of the | commercial | mayonnaises | studied. |
|-----------------|-------------|--------|------------|-------------|----------|
| I able I. Label | composition | or the | commercial | mayomaises  | bruarea. |

| Code                    | Composition   |  |  |  |
|-------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| M <sub>1</sub> (Light)  | Water, vegetable oil, vinegar, starch, sugar, mustard, milk protein, preservative (potassium                |  |  |  |
|                         | chloride).  |  |  |  |
| M <sub>2</sub>          | Sunflower oil, water, egg yolk, wine vinegar, sugar, salt, starch, lemon juice, spices.                     |  |  |  |
| M <sub>3</sub> (Soya)   | Sunflower oil, water, vinegar, lemon juice, soya protein, maize starch, lemon, salt, mustard.               |  |  |  |
| M4                      | Vegetable oil, water, olive-oil, egg yolk, salt, sugar, flavor, lemon juice, non-oxidizing agents           |  |  |  |
|                         | (EDTACaNa2, butyl-hidroxyanisol), color ( $\beta$ -carotene).   |  |  |  |
| M <sub>5</sub>          | Vegetable oil, egg yolk and egg white, water, vinegar, sugar, salt, flavor, lemon juice, non-               |  |  |  |
|                         | oxidizing agents (EDTACaNa2, butyl-hidroxyanisol), spices.  |  |  |  |
| M <sub>6</sub> (Light)  | Water, vegetable oil, starch, egg yolk, wine vinegar, sugar, salt, color (\beta-carotene), preservative     |  |  |  |
|                         | (potassium sorbate), natural flavors.   |  |  |  |
| M <sub>7</sub> (Soya)   | Sunflower oil, water, soya protein, apple vinegar, salt, apple juice, lemon juice, thickeners (guar         |  |  |  |
|                         | gum, xanthan gum).  |  |  |  |
| M <sub>8</sub>          | Vegetable oil, water, egg yolk, glucose syrup, mustard, vinegar, salt, preservative (potassium              |  |  |  |
|                         | sorbate, citric acid), spices, non-oxidizing agent (EDTACaNa2), color ( $\beta$ -carotene).                 |  |  |  |
| M <sub>9</sub>          | Vegetable oil, egg yolk, water, glucose syrup, vinegar, mustard, salt, preservative (potassium              |  |  |  |
|                         | sorbate, citric acid), spices, thickener (guar gum), flavor, color (β-carotene).                            |  |  |  |
| M <sub>10</sub>         | Sunflower oil, olive-oil, water, egg, wine vinegar, sugar, salt, lemon juice, spices, preservatives         |  |  |  |
|                         | (potassium sorbate, sodium benzoate).   |  |  |  |
| M <sub>11</sub> (Light) | Water, vegetable oil, sugar, starch, egg yolk, mustard, vinegar, salt, thickener (guar gum),                |  |  |  |
|                         | preservative (potassium sorbate), non-oxidizing agent (EDTACaNa <sub>2</sub> ), spices, flavours, color (β- |  |  |  |
|                         | carotene).  |  |  |  |

**Table 2**: Label composition of the commercial salad dressings studied.

| Code                  | Composition   |
|-----------------------|---|
| $S_1$                 | Vegetable oil, water, tomato sauce, vinegar, sugar, mustard, egg yolk, starch, whisky, salt, spices,  |
|                       | preservatives (citric acid), flavors, non-oxidizing agent (EDTACaNa <sub>2</sub> ).                   |
| $S_2$                 | Vinegar, vegetable oil, sugar, water, mustard, salt, egg yolk, maize starch, thickeners (xanthan      |
|                       | gum, guar gum), color (riboflavine).  |
| <b>S</b> <sub>3</sub> | Water, vegetable oil, vinegar, sugar, salt, egg yolk, garlic, thickeners (propylene glycol, alginate, |
|                       | carragenan, xanthan gum), preservative (potassium sorbate).   |
| $S_4$                 | Water, vegetable oil, sugar, vinegar, mustard flour, salt, egg yolk, maize starch, thickeners         |
|                       | (xanthan gum, agar-agar), color (riboflavine).  |
| <b>S</b> <sub>5</sub> | Water, vegetable oil, vinegar, glucose syrup, starch, salt, mustard, egg yolk, thickener (guar gum),  |
|                       | preservatives (citric acid, potassium sorbate), spices, flavors, color (β-carotene).                  |
| <b>S</b> <sub>6</sub> | Water, cream, coagulum, vegetable oil, sugar, vinegar, egg yolk, salt, starch, lemon juice, spices.   |
| <b>S</b> <sub>7</sub> | Water, vegetable oil, vinegar, glucose chirrup, starch, sugar, salt, mustard, egg yolk, thickener     |
|                       | (guar gum), preservatives (potassium sorbate, citric acid), spices, flavor, color (β-carotene).       |

The textural variables were obtained from the texture profile analysis (T.P.A.) carried out in a texturometer TA-XT2 (Stable Micro Systems, U.K.). The samples were placed in cylindrical glass vessels (60x53 mm). Penetration tests were performed using a cylindrical probe of 38 mm diameter (5 mm penetration and 2 mm/s crosshead speed). Firmness and adhesiveness were calculated from the force *versus* time texturograms. Firmness was taken as the maximum resistance to the penetration of the probe in the glass flask filled with emulsion up to 5 cm height. Adhesiveness is a characteristic of sticky materials and can be defined as the





resistance of the material when the probe is recessing. This parameter is recorded as a negative area and is evaluated as the work necessary to take the probe out of the material [14]. Results for each sample were determined at least five times.

Droplet size distribution (DSD) of emulsions was measured using a laser light scattering instrument (Malvern Mastersizer-X, U.K.). Values of the Sauter mean diameter [15], which is inversely proportional to the specific surface area of droplets, were obtained using the following expression:

$$d32 = \frac{\sum n_{idi}^{3}}{\sum n_{idi}^{2}} \tag{1}$$

Each value is a mean of three or more determinations. Emulsions must be diluted in distilled water to a droplet concentration of less than 0.04% (to eliminate multiple scattering effects) and stirred (to ensure they are homogeneous) prior to measurement. Dilution and stirring could disrupt any weak flocculated droplets, but leave strongly flocculated droplets intact [16].

The stability of emulsions was analyzed using a centrifuge (Sorval) and measuring the oil separated during this operation (expressed in percentage of oil by weight). Samples of emulsions (2 g) were centrifuged at 38000xg for 30 minutes. The oil droplets have a lower density than surrounding aqueous phase and therefore move upwards when the centrifugal force is applied. Four replicates were made of each emulsion.

A Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was applied to the above results using the software STATISTICA Version 5 Statsoft.

Sensory evaluation was performed to three commercial mayonnaises studied using a consumer triangular preferences test. An university population of 120 male and female students and staff was considered. Mayonnaises were taste randomly. The question asked was: "Which is the mayonnaise that you like most?". The percentage of preference for each mayonnaise tested was calculated.

### **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### Linear viscoelasticity

Figures 1a, b and c show the evolution of storage (G') and loss (G'') moduli with frequency, for the emulsions studied. Generally, the viscoelastic moduli are higher in commercial mayonnaises than in commercial salad dressings. This higher viscoelasticity of mayonnaises is due to the larger oil phase in the former, and this suggests more complexity of the emulsion structure as previously stated by Gallegos *et al.* [17].

The existence of a minimum or, at least, a plateau region in the G'' versus frequency plots is due to the formation of an entanglement network by an extended bridging flocculation, involving both macromolecules which are adsorbed to the interface and no adsorbed macromolecules [18, 19]. A characteristic parameter of this plateau region is the plateau modulus,  $G^o_N$ , which may be calculated from the value of G' for which the loss tangent  $\left(\frac{G'}{G'}\right)$  shows a minimum in the frequency range studied [20]:

$$G^{o}{}_{N} = [G']_{tan\sigma \to minimum}$$
(2)

This parameter was considered to be a measure of the density of the aforementioned entanglements [11]. Absence of a plateau region has been observed in non-flocculated or





weakly flocculated dispersions [21]. As a result of the entanglement enhancement, the emulsions that show a plateau region and higher  $G^{o}_{N}$  values are considered more stable.



**Figure 1.** Evolution of G' and G'' for mayonnaises a)  $M_2$ ,  $M_4$ ,  $M_5$ ,  $M_6$ ,  $M_8$  and  $M_9$ ; b)  $M_1$ ,  $M_3$ ,  $M_7$ ,  $M_{10}$  and  $M_{11}$ , and for c) salad dressings ("na" means not available experimental data).

For most of the emulsions studied the storage moduli were higher than the loss moduli in the frequency range considered. However, for mayonnaise  $M_{10}$  and for salad dressings  $S_5$  and  $S_7$  the loss moduli were higher than the storage moduli at low frequencies, yielding a crossover between viscoelastic functions, and  $S_3$  has a tendency to show very similar values of both viscoelastic functions.  $G^o{}_N$  for these four emulsions was not calculated since tan $\delta$  had no minimum. Consequently, these emulsions were considered to be less stable, especially  $M_{10}$  due to the crossover of the viscoelastic functions at a very high frequency suggesting that the material has a very week structure, if any.

In the mayonnaises that show G'>G'' in the frequency range studied a plateau region can be observed, except for  $M_7$ , which can be considered less stable. This is also the case for the salad dressings studied for which a plateau region could not be found, except for  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$ ,  $S_4$  and  $S_6$ . The calculated  $G^o_N$  (Figure 1c) for these emulsions were lower than those of





mayonnaise. As expected, salad dressings were generally less structured and hence physically more unstable than mayonnaise.

#### Flow behavior

All the emulsions studied under steady-state conditions show a strong shear-thinning behavior. The viscosity *versus* shear stress plots (Figures 2a, b and c) show high values of viscosity at very low shear and fall suddenly many orders of magnitude over a narrow range of shear stress, a type of flow that was recently well described by Barnes [22]. Considering the Newtonian plateau zone, we concluded the existence of two different groups of mayonnaises. The first group, formed by traditional mayonnaises, shows an extended plateau and the structure breaks at about 20-50 Pa of applied stress. The second group, formed by light and soybean mayonnaises, and the salad dressings, show a small plateau region and the structure breaks at about 10 Pa.



Figure 2. Steady-state flow curves for mayonnaises a)  $M_2$ ,  $M_4$ ,  $M_5$ ,  $M_6$ ,  $M_8$  and  $M_9$ ; b)  $M_1$ ,  $M_3$ ,  $M_7$ ,  $M_{10}$  and  $M_{11}$  and for c) salad dressings.





The flow curves can be fitted with fairly good agreement by the Carreau model [23]:

$$\eta = \eta_0 / \left[1 + (\gamma/\gamma_c)^2\right]^s \tag{3}$$

where  $\eta$  is the apparent viscosity measured at the shear rate  $\gamma$ ,  $\eta_0$  is the limiting viscosity of the initial Newtonian plateau,  $\gamma_C$  is the critical shear rate for the onset of the shear thinning region and s is a parameter related to the slope of the shear thinning zone. The values of these fitting parameters, obtained with the "Origin 3.2" software, are shown in Table 3. The relevant characteristic is  $\eta_0$  but s and  $\gamma_C$  may be of interest it one needs to consider the simplification to the power law. For this reason and for a complete description of the flow curve the three parameters are given.

The group of traditional mayonnaises has  $\eta_0$  values of the order of  $10^5$  Pa s and the group of light and soybean mayonnaises has  $\eta_0$  values of the order of  $10^4$  Pa s. However, the light mayonnaise  $M_6$  also belongs to first group. The salad dressings have lower  $\eta_0$  values compared to mayonnaises, of about  $10^3$  Pa s, with exception of  $S_1$  for which a  $\eta_0$  value of  $10^4$  Pa s was found, similar to the values showed by the second mayonnaises group.

Table 3: Parameters of the Carreau model,  $\eta_0$ ,  $\gamma_c$  and s values fitted for the flow curves of the emulsions studied.

| Emulsion        | $\eta_0$ (Pa s)      | $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{e}$ (s <sup>-1</sup> ) | $\mathbf{s}$ (Pa s <sup>2</sup> ) |
|-----------------|----------------------|--|-----------------------------------|
| M <sub>1</sub>  | $3.03 \times 10^4$   | $5.43 \times 10^{-4}$                        | 0.39                              |
| M <sub>2</sub>  | $2.37 \times 10^5$   | $4.02 \times 10^{-4}$                        | 0.47                              |
| M <sub>3</sub>  | $5.56 \times 10^4$   | $2.24 \times 10^{-4}$                        | 0.40                              |
| M4              | $2.02 \times 10^5$   | 9.55x10 <sup>-4</sup>                        | 0.43                              |
| M <sub>5</sub>  | $1.48 \times 10^5$   | 2.19x10 <sup>-4</sup>                        | 0.45                              |
| M <sub>6</sub>  | $1.26 \times 10^5$   | 2.07x10 <sup>-4</sup>                        | 0.41                              |
| M <sub>7</sub>  | $2.72 \times 10^4$   | $1.00 \times 10^{-3}$                        | 0.42                              |
| M <sub>8</sub>  | $4.19 \times 10^5$   | 6.98x10 <sup>-5</sup>                        | 0.43                              |
| M9              | $1.60 \times 10^5$   | $1.63 \times 10^{-4}$                        | 0.42                              |
| M <sub>10</sub> | $7.37 \text{x} 10^4$ | 5.94x10 <sup>-4</sup>                        | 0.43                              |
| M <sub>11</sub> | $5.29 \times 10^4$   | 5.15x10 <sup>-4</sup>                        | 0.38                              |
| $S_1$           | $2.14 \times 10^4$   | 3.19x10 <sup>-4</sup>                        | 0.40                              |
| $S_2$           | $1.93 \times 10^{3}$ | $4.70 \times 10^{-3}$                        | 0.40                              |
| $S_3$           | $1.85 \times 10^{3}$ | $3.60 \times 10^{-3}$                        | 0.40                              |
| $S_4$           | $2.88 \times 10^3$   | 2.49x10 <sup>-3</sup>                        | 0.39                              |
| $S_5$           | $1.34 \text{x} 10^3$ | $4.33 \times 10^{-3}$                        | 0.34                              |
| $S_6$           | $5.41 \times 10^3$   | $3.95 \times 10^{-4}$                        | 0.39                              |
| $S_7$           | $2.42 \times 10^3$   | 2.22x10 <sup>-3</sup>                        | 0.35                              |

### **Textural parameters**

The firmness and adhesiveness values of the emulsions studied are shown in Table 4. Comparing these results with the zero shear rate limiting viscosity values (Table 3), a close relationship between rheological and textural parameters can be observed namely on Figure 4a it can be seen that they are all at a similar distance from the second axis.





| Emulsion        | Firmness (g) | Adhesiveness (-g s) |
|-----------------|--------------|---------------------|
| M <sub>1</sub>  | 96.8         | 86.5                |
| M <sub>2</sub>  | 161.0        | 182.3               |
| M <sub>3</sub>  | 50.5         | 72.6                |
| M4              | 126.5        | 181.5               |
| M <sub>5</sub>  | 155.4        | 182.3               |
| M <sub>6</sub>  | 90.1         | 126.1               |
| M <sub>7</sub>  | 101.5        | 63.7                |
| M <sub>8</sub>  | 115.6        | 177.6               |
| M <sub>9</sub>  | 105.9        | 150.2               |
| M <sub>10</sub> | 128.2        | 129.6               |
| M <sub>11</sub> | 129.7        | 174.4               |
| $S_1$           | 41.0         | 43.2                |
| $S_2$           | 44.2         | 19.5                |
| $S_3$           | 33.7         | 17.1                |
| $S_4$           | 49.9         | 32.5                |
| $S_5$           | 49.7         | 47.3                |
| $S_6$           | 28.7         | 15.7                |
| S <sub>7</sub>  | 46.6         | 49.7                |

Table 4: Textural parameters, firmness and adhesiveness values for the emulsions studied.

## **Droplet size distribution (DSD)**



Figure 3. Droplet size distributions for mayonnaises a)  $M_2$ ,  $M_4$ ,  $M_5$ ,  $M_6$ ,  $M_8$  and  $M_9$ ; b)  $M_1$ ,  $M_3$ ,  $M_7$ ,  $M_{10}$  and  $M_{11}$  and for c) salad dressings.





Lower values of the Sauter diameter and monodisperse distributions imply higher stability of the emulsions [16]. The oil droplet size distribution plots obtained for the emulsions and the  $d_{32}$  calculated from these plots (Figure 3a, b and c) gives some indication to help predicting their stability.

Mayonnaises  $M_2$ ,  $M_3$ ,  $M_4$ ,  $M_5$ ,  $M_8$  and  $M_9$  should be more stable because they have lower values of the Sauter diameter, although some of them present a slight scatter of results. Mayonnaises  $M_6$  and  $M_{11}$  have high size dispersion plots and are therefore considered to be less stables. Mayonnaises  $M_1$  and  $M_7$  present droplet size distributions with little dispersion but higher values of Sauter diameter. Consequently, they should be less stable. According to this criterium,  $M_{10}$  should be the most unstable mayonnaise due to its high value of Sauter diameter.

The major part of salad dressings studied present more dispersed droplet size distributions when compared to mayonnaises.  $S_1$  is expected to be the most stable salad dressing, followed by  $S_5$ . The salad dressings  $S_2$ ,  $S_3$ ,  $S_4$  and  $S_7$  would be less stable due to their high oil droplet size dispersion and  $S_6$  should have the lower stability due to its high Sauter diameter value.

#### **Stability evaluation**

The use of the centrifugal force represents a direct method of stability evaluation. The oil portion that is separated depends on several factors such as the viscosity of continuous phase, droplet size distribution and the surface viscoelasticity of the adsorbed layer [24]. Percentage values of separated oil obtained for the emulsions studied are shown in Table 5.

 Table 5: Percentage of oil separated during the centrifugation of the emulsions studied.

| Emulsion        | % w/w of oil |
|-----------------|--------------|
| $M_1$           | 2.219        |
| M <sub>2</sub>  | 0.000        |
| M <sub>3</sub>  | 0.000        |
| $M_4$           | 0.000        |
| M <sub>5</sub>  | 4.931        |
| M <sub>6</sub>  | 10.495       |
| M <sub>7</sub>  | 15.611       |
| $M_8$           | 2.039        |
| M9              | 0.000        |
| M <sub>10</sub> | 61.583       |
| M <sub>11</sub> | 0.000        |
| $S_1$           | 9.992        |
| $S_2$           | 21.194       |
| $S_3$           | 12.894       |
| $S_4$           | 0.000        |
| S <sub>5</sub>  | 0.460        |
| $S_6$           | Not avaible  |
| $S_7$           | 0.000        |

According to these results mayonnaise  $M_{10}$  is the least stable emulsion tested and this is in agreement with the prediction based on  $d_{32}$  and  $G^0{}_N$  values. Nevertheless, in several cases, the prediction of the emulsion stability based on  $d_{32}$  values is not totally in agreement with the prediction based on  $G^0{}_N$ . This can be explained by the existence of two different phenomena involved on the emulsion stability - small size oil droplets and entanglement between oil droplets forming a network measured as  $G^0{}_N$ . Examples of this are the mayonnaises  $M_{11}$  and  $M_4$ . The former, presents the higher value of  $G^0{}_N$  but not the lower value of  $d_{32}$  and is a very stable mayonnaise (0% oil removed). In this case, the development of the entanglement network between oil droplets was the most important effect responsible for emulsion stability.





On the other hand, mayonnaise  $M_4$  shows the lower value of  $d_{32}$  but not the higher value of  $G^0{}_N$  and is also a very stable emulsion (0% oil removed). In this case, the  $d_{32}$  factor was predominant for stability. According to these results, the prediction of the stability of food emulsions should take in account both data for Sauter diameter ( $d_{32}$ ) and plateau modulus ( $G^0{}_N$ ).

### Principal Component Analysis (PCA)

PCA methodology was applied to group the emulsions studied and to determine the relationship between the variables used in this work ( $\eta_0$ ,  $d_{32}$ , firmness, adhesiveness, % of separated oil). The variable  $G^0_N$  was not used in this statistical approach because there are five emulsions for which no plateau modulus was determined. According to Figure 4a there is a close relationship between  $d_{32}$  and fraction of separated oil and also between textural parameters and  $\eta_0$ . An analysis of Figure 4b suggests two different groups of mayonnaises: a central group that is formed by light and soybean mayonnaises ( $M_1$ ,  $M_3$ ,  $M_6$ ,  $M_7$  and  $M_{10}$ ) and an other group formed by traditional mayonnaises ( $M_2$ ,  $M_4$ ,  $M_5$ ,  $M_8$  and  $M_9$ ) and the light mayonnaise  $M_{11}$ . On the other hand, there is one group of salad dressings only. The existence of two distinct groups of mayonnaises is due to the lower textural parameters and  $\eta_0$  values of soy and light mayonnaises. The fact that  $M_{10}$  is apart relatively to central group is due to its high  $d_{32}$  and fraction of separated oil values.



Figure 4. a) Variables distributions obtained by PCA and b) emulsions distributions obtained by PCA.





With the purpose of selecting a standard for the behavior of mayonnaise we selected three commercial mayonnaises ( $M_1$ ,  $M_2$  and  $M_6$ ) to make a sensory preferential test. Mayonnaises  $M_2$  and  $M_6$  had 33% and 25% of preference and the mayonnaise  $M_1$  achieved the highest score (42%). It may therefore be considered as a standard for commercial mayonnaise.

When a product is use as a standard for product development, is important to know its chemical composition. Thus, the protein, fat and carbohydrates content of the three mayonnaises selected for the hedonic test were supplied by the company that produce them (Table 6).

| Emulsion       | Protein          | Fat              | Carbohydrates    |
|----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
|                | (g/100g product) | (g/100g product) | (g/100g product) |
| M <sub>1</sub> | 0.7              | 34.3             | 6.2              |
| M <sub>2</sub> | 0.6              | 76.0             | 1.3              |
| M <sub>6</sub> | 0.6              | 35.0             | 7.1              |

Table 6: Protein, fat and carbohydrates content of mayonnaises M1, M2 and M6.

## 4. CONCLUSIONS

From the above results, it can be concluded that Principal Component Analysis (PCA) methodology can be very useful in understanding the relationship between the physical variables used in this work to characterise the commercial mayonnaises and salad dressings and to group these emulsions. There is a close relationship between  $d_{32}$  and the oil fraction that is separated by centrifugation and between textural parameters and  $\eta_0$ . The direct method of stability evaluation used in this work showed that both  $d_{32}$  and  $G^0_N$  data should be considered to predict the stability of emulsions.

The hedonic sensory evaluation showed that one traditional mayonnaise achieved the highest score of the panel and could be selected as the commercial standard. Thus, the physical and chemical properties of this mayonnaise can be used as a guidance when developing new food emulsions alternative to mayonnaise.

### References

- 1. Real Decreto 858/1984, Boletin Oficial del Estado, Núm. 110 de 10 de Maio de 1984. Spain.
- 2. Dickinson, E. and Stainsby, G., "Progress in the Formulation in Food Emulsions and Foams", *Food Technol.* 41, 1987, 75-81.
- 3. Dalgleish, D.G., "Adsorption of protein and the stability of emulsions", *Trends in Food Science and Technology* 8, 1997, 1-6.
- 4. Raymundo, A., Empis, J. and Sousa, I., "Optimisation of lupin protein emulsion composition", *Pol. J. Nutr. Sci.* 48, 1998, 127-134.
- 5. Kato, A. and Nakai, S., "Hydrophobicity determined by a fluorescence probe method and its correlation with surface properties of proteins", *Biochim. Biophys. Act.* 13, 1980, 624-628.
- 6. Davis, S.S., "Rheological properties of semi-solid foodstuffs", J. Text. Studies 4, 1973, 15-40.





- 7. Franco, J.M., Raymundo, A., Sousa, I. and Gallegos C., "Influence of Processing Variables on the Rheological and Textural Properties of Lupin Protein-Stabilised Emulsions", J. Agric. Food Chem. 46, 1998, 3109-3115.
- 8. Raymundo, A., Nunes, M.C., Franco, J., Empis, J., Sousa, I., "White lupin protein as an ingredient to produce egg free mayonnaise and salad dressings", *Leatherhead Food Industry Journal, in press.*
- 9. Raymundo, A., Franco, J.M., Empis, J., Sousa, I., "Optimization of the composition of low-fat oil in water emulsions stabilised by white lupin protein", *Journal of American Oil and Chemists Society* 79, 2002, 783-790.
- 10. Raymundo, A., Empis, J., Sousa, I., "Effect of pH and NaCl on rheological and textural properties of lupin protein emulsions" in *Gums and Stabiliser for the Food Industry 10*, eds. P.A. Williams and G.O. Phillips, Royal Society of Chemistry, U.K., 2000.
- 11. Ferry, J.D., "Viscoelastic Properties of Polymers", 3<sup>rd</sup> ed., John Wiley, New York, 1980.
- 12. Barnes, H.A., "A review of the slip (wall depletion) of polymer solutions, emulsions and particle suspensions in Viscometers: its Cause, Character and Cure", J. Non-Newtonian Fluid Mech. 56, 1995, 221-251.
- 13. Franco, J.M., Gallegos, C. and Barnes, H.A., "On Slip Effects in Steady-State Flow Measurements of Oil-In-Water Food Emulsions", *J. Food Eng.* 36, 1998, 89-102.
- 14. Bourne, M., "Food Texture and Viscosity: Concept and Measurement", Academic Press, U.K., 2002.
- 15. Sprow, F.B., "Distribution of Drop Sizes Produced in Turbulent Liquid-Liquid Dispersion", *Chem. Eng. Sci.* 22, 1967, 435-442.
- 16. Demetriades, K., Coupland, J.N. and McClements, D.J., "Physical properties of whey protein stabilised emulsions as related to pH and NaCl", *J. Food Sci.* 62, (2), 1997, 342-347.
- 17. Gallegos, C., Berjano, M. and Choplin, L., "Linear viscoelastic behaviour of commercial and model mayonnaise", *J. Rheol.* 36, 1992, 465-477.
- 18. Franco, J.M., Guerrero, A. and Gallegos, C., "Rheology and processing of salad dressing emulsions", *Rheol. Acta* 34, 1995, 513 524.
- 19. Franco, J.M., Berjano, M. and Gallegos, C., "Linear viscoelasticity of salad dressing emulsions", J. Agric. Food Chem. 45, 1997, 713-719.
- 20. Wu, S., "Chain Structure and Entanglement", J. Polym. Sci., 27, 1989, 723-741.
- 21. Trados, Th.F., "Use of Viscoelastic Measurements in Studying Interactions in Concentrated Dispersions", *Langmuir* 6, 1990, 28-35.
- 22. Barnes, H.A., "A Handbook of Elementar Rheology", Institute of Non-Newtonian Fluid Mechanics, University of Wales, 2000.
- 23. Barnes, H.A., Hutton, J.F. and Walters, K., "An Introduction to Rheology", Elsevier Science Publishers, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1989.
- 24. McDermott, R.L., Harper, W.J. and Whitley, R., "A centrifugal method for characterisation of salad dressing emulsions", *Food Technology*, May 1981, 81-87.

### Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the financial support for this work by the PhD grant - Praxis XXI BD/5754/95 from JNICT - Portugal and for the final project grant from PRODEP "medida 5 acção 5.2."